

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

BEFORE THE
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:)
)
ILLINOIS BELL TELEPHONE)
COMPANY AND TALK AMERICA)
INC. d/b/a CAVALIER)
TELEPHONE d/b/a PAETEC)
BUSINESS SOLUTIONS,) No. 12-0614
Petitioner,)
)
)
Joint petition for)
approval of 11th Amendment)
to the interconnection)
agreement dated November)
12, 2012, pursuant to 47)
U.S.C. 252.)

Chicago, Illinois
January 8, 2013

Met, pursuant to adjournment, at 11:03 a.m.,
in Conference Room S-801, 160 North LaSalle Street,
Chicago, Illinois.

BEFORE:

Ms. Katina Baker, Administrative Law Judge

1 APPEARANCES:

2
3 AT&T ILLINOIS,

4 (225 West Randolph Street, 25D,

5 Chicago, Illinois 60606,

6 (312) 727-1444), by:

7 MR. JAMES A. HUTTENHOWER,

8 Senior Attorney, State Regulatory,

9 jh7452@att.com,

10 for the Petitioner,

11 ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION,

12 (160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800,

13 Chicago, Illinois 60601-3104,

14 (312) 793-2877),

15 MR. MATTHEW L. HARVEY,

16 mharvey@icc.illinois.gov,

17 for the Staff.
18
19
20
21
22

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

I N D E X

WITNESS	DX	CX	RDX	RCX	By Judge
---------	----	----	-----	-----	----------

None.

S T A F F E X H I B I T S

NUMBER	MARKED FOR ID	IN EVIDENCE
--------	---------------	-------------

1	5	6
---	---	---

1 JUDGE BAKER: Pursuant to the authority of the
2 Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call Docket
3 12-0614, Illinois Bell Telephone Company and Talk
4 America, Inc. d/b/a Cavalier Telephone d/b/a Paetec
5 Business Solutions. This is a joint petition for
6 approval of 11th Amendment to the interconnection
7 agreement dated November 12, 2012.

8 Will the parties please state their
9 names for the record?

10 MR. HUTTENHOWER: James Huttenhower,
11 H-u-t-t-e-n-h-o-w-e-r, appearing on behalf of
12 Illinois Bell Telephone, 225 West Randolph Street,
13 Suite 25D, Chicago, Illinois 60606.

14 MR. HARVEY: Appearing for the staff of the
15 Illinois Commerce Commission, Matthew L. Harvey, 160
16 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800, Chicago, Illinois,
17 60601.

18 JUDGE BAKER: Counsel, have you had an
19 opportunity to review the amendment?

20 MR. HARVEY: Yes, your Honor. Staff -- A.
21 Olusanjo Omoniyi has reviewed the amendment to the
22 interconnection agreement for the staff. His review

1 of the interconnection agreement is such that he is
2 able to offer the opinion that the interconnection
3 agreement amendment neither discriminates against a
4 telecommunications carrier that's not a party to it
5 nor does it -- nor is it in any way contrary to the
6 public interest, convenience, and necessity. As
7 such, he recommends that the commission approve the
8 11th Amendment to the interconnection agreement.
9 Staff has filed a verified statement to that effect.
10 I would move Mr. Omoniyi's verified statement, which
11 was filed on e-docket, I believe, January 4, 2013,
12 into evidence at this time. And with that, staff has
13 nothing further.

14

15 (WHEREUPON, the document was
16 marked Staff Exhibit 1 for
17 identification, as of 1/8/13.)

18

19 JUDGE BAKER: Any objection?

20 MR. HUTTENHOWER: No objection, your Honor.

21 JUDGE BAKER: Let the record reflect that Staff
22 Exhibit 1 is entered into the record.

(WHEREUPON, the document marked

2 Staff Exhibit 1 for identification
3 was admitted into evidence.)

4

5 JUDGE BAKER: Is there anything further from
6 any of the parties?

7 MR. HUTTENHOWER: Nothing from Illinois Bell.

8 MR. HARVEY: Nor from staff, your Honor.

9 JUDGE BAKER: I'll mark the record heard and
10 taken.

11

12 (END OF PROCEEDINGS.)

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22